ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Modulation of $Na_v 1.8$ by Lysophosphatidic Acid in the Induction of Bone Cancer Pain

Hai-Li Pan¹ · Ben-Long Liu² · Wei Lin² · Yu-Qiu Zhang²

Received: 26 March 2016/Accepted: 6 June 2016/Published online: 9 September 2016 © Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, CAS and Springer Science+Business Media Singapore 2016

Abstract Given that lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) and the tetrodotoxin-resistant sodium channel Nav1.8 are both involved in bone cancer pain, the present study was designed to investigate whether crosstalk between the LPA receptor LPA₁ (also known as EDG2) and Na_v1.8 in the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) contributes to the induction of bone cancer pain. We showed that the EDG2 antagonist Ki16198 blocked the mechanical allodynia induced by intrathecal LPA in naïve rats and attenuated mechanical allodynia in a rat model of bone cancer. EDG2 and Nav1.8 expression in L₄₋₆ DRGs was upregulated following intrathecal or hindpaw injection of LPA. EDG2 and Nav1.8 expression in ipsilateral L4-6 DRGs increased with the development of bone cancer. Furthermore, we showed that EDG2 co-localized with Nav1.8 and LPA remarkably enhanced Nav1.8 currents in DRG neurons, and this was blocked by either a protein kinase C (PKC) inhibitor or a PKCE inhibitor. Overall, we demonstrated the modulation of Na_v1.8 by LPA in DRG neurons, and that this probably underlies the peripheral mechanism by which bone cancer pain is induced.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s12264-016-0060-7) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Hai-Li Pan panhaili@ncu.edu.cn Keywords Lysophosphatidic acid \cdot Bone cancer pain \cdot Tetrodotoxin-resistant sodium channel $Na_v1.8\cdot$ Dorsal root ganglion

Introduction

Bone cancer pain frequently manifests as severe spontaneous pain and breakthrough pain, which strongly impacts patients' quality of life and is difficult to control completely [1–3]. Various cancer cells release algogenic substances such as tumor necrosis factor, bradykinin, nerve growth factor (NGF), and formaldehyde that may sensitize primary afferent neurons or destroy peripheral nerve fibers [4–8].

Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA), a potent signaling lipid secreted by activated blood platelets [9, 10], is found at high concentrations in cancer patients' malignant ascites and blood plasma [11–14], promoting the progression of bone metastases [15]. There are five LPA receptor sub-types, LPA₁₋₅, all of which are G protein-coupled receptors [15–17]. Dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons mainly express the LPA₁ receptor (also known as EDG2) [18]. Our previous studies showed that LPA is involved in the initiation of bone cancer pain *via* sensitizing primary afferent C-fibers [19] and potentiates TRPV1 current *via* a PKC-dependent pathway in the DRG neurons of rats with bone cancer [20].

 $Na_v 1.8$, a slow-inactivating tetrodotoxin-resistant (TTX-R) voltage-gated sodium channel, is mainly localized in nociceptive small and medium-sized DRG neurons and acts as a key component of the upstroke of the action potential in these neurons [21–27], thus influencing their excitability [22]. $Na_v 1.8$ knockdown rats show reduced pain behavior in models of neuropathic pain and

¹ Center for Neuropsychiatric Diseases, Institute of Life Science, Nanchang University, Nanchang 330031, China

² Institute of Neurobiology, Institutes of Brain Science and State Key Laboratory of Medical Neurobiology, Collaborative Innovation Center for Brain Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China

inflammatory pain [26, 28–30]. Compelling studies have von-Frey Test for Mechanical Allodynia

shown that this channel is involved in the development of bone cancer pain [31] and that the $Na_v 1.8$ currents are regulated by many inflammatory factors such as prostaglandin E2, NGF, and serotonin [24, 32–34]. What is more, LPA increases TTX-R currents [35].

Taken together, it is reasonable to assume that $Na_v 1.8$ may contribute to LPA mechanism underlying the induction of bone cancer pain. Therefore, we designed the present study to investigate whether there is crosstalk between $Na_v 1.8$ and the LPA receptor EDG2 in the development of bone cancer pain.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Female Sprague-Dawley rats (from the Experimental Animal Center, Nanchang University, China) weighing 80-120 g were used in the patch clamp recording experiments and female Sprague-Dawley rats weighing 180-200 g were used in the rest of the experiments. The 180-200 g rats were divided into three groups: LPA + Ki16198 (1 mmol/L, 50 µL LPA and 1 mmol/L, 50 µL Ki16198); LPA + Control (LPA and 1% DMSO in saline), and Control + Control (saline + 1% DMSO). All the rats were housed three per cage and maintained on a 12:12 h light/dark cycle at ~ 23 °C with free access to water and food. In all experiments, rats were used only once. All animal handling and experimental procedures were reviewed and approved by the Animal Care Committee of Nanchang University and carried out according to the guidelines of the International Association for the Study of Pain. Animal care, use, and treatment were in accordance with the guidelines and regulations. All efforts were made to minimize the number and suffering of the rats.

Establishment of Bone Cancer Model

The abdominal cavity of 80-g rats was injected with Walker 256 rat mammary gland carcinoma cells (Walker 256 rat mammary gland carcinoma cells used in the previous study were the same line with those used in our previous papers, provided by the Department of Integrative Medicine and Neurobiology, School of Medicine, Fudan University) for cancer cell culture. To induce bone tumors, carcinoma cells (10^7) in 4 µL phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) or 4 µL PBS alone (sham) was injected through the knee joint into the left tibial cavity in Chloral Hydrate anesthetized [300 mg/kg, intraperitoneal (i.p.)] animals.

Rats were first placed individually into a Plexiglas chamber for 30 min acclimation as described previously [36]. As in our previous studies [19, 20, 37], the hindpaw withdrawal threshold (PWT) was determined by a calibrated series of von Frey hairs (1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 15, and 26 g; Stoelting, Wood Dale, IL), applied in ascending order for 3 s to the center of the plantar surface of the left hindpaw. A positive response was considered only when the hindpaw was completely lifted off the platform. Each force was repeated 5 times at 10-s intervals. The lowest force to induce at least 3 responses out of 5 tests was defined as the PWT.

Western Blotting

The L_{4-6} DRGs from sham, cancer, and drug-treated rats were rapidly collected after the animals were anesthetized with chloral hydrate (400 mg/kg, i.p.) and homogenized in lysis buffer (12.5 µL/mg tissue) containing protease inhibitor (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) and phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). The protein concentrations were assessed with BCA assays (Pierce Biotechnology Inc., Rockford, IL). A protein sample (20 µg) was loaded onto each lane, separated using 8% SDS-PAGE, and then transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes. After blocking in 5% nonfat dry milk for 2 h at room temperature (RT), the membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti-EDG2 primary antibody (1:400, Novus Biologicals Inc., Littleton, CO), rabbit anti-Nav1.8 primary antibody (1:2000, Alomone Labs Ltd, Jerusalem, Israel), or mouse anti-tubulin primary antibody (1:5000, Sigma) and then incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit or goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:5000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) for 2 h at RT. Finally, signals were detected with enhanced chemiluminescence (Pierce Biotechnology Inc., Rockford, IL) and visualized with the ChemiDoc XRs system (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Richmond, CA). The tubulin level was used as loading control, and EDG2 or Nav1.8 expression was normalized against the tubulin level. EDG2/tubulin or Nav1.8/tubulin in the DRGs from the treatment group was normalized against those in the control group. All Western blot analysis was repeated at least 3 times.

Immunohistochemistry

After an overdose of urethane (2 g/kg, i.p.), animals were perfused intracardially with normal saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 mol/L PBS (pH 7.4, 4 °C). The DRGs of the L_{4-6} segments were removed, post-fixed in the same fixative (4 h, 4 °C), and then immersed in a 10%–

30% gradient of sucrose in PBS for cryoprotection (24-48 h, 4 °C). DRG sections at 7 μm (to detect $Na_v 1.8$ and EDG2 co-localization) were cut on a cryostat (Leica 1900, Leica, Wetzlar, Hesse, Germany) and processed for immunofluorescence. After blocking with 10% donkey serum in 0.01 mol/L PBS (pH 7.4) with 0.3% Triton X-100 for 1 h at RT, two adjacent sections were each incubated overnight at 4 °C with rabbit anti-Nav1.8 (1:2000, Alomone) and rabbit anti-EDG2 (1:50, Novus Biologicals) primary antibodies in PBS with 1% normal donkey serum and 0.3% Triton X-100. Following three 15-min rinses in 0.01 mol/L PBS, the sections were incubated with Alex Fluor 546- and Alex Fluor 488-conjugated secondary antibodies for 2 h at 4 °C, respectively, and then washed in PBS. After coverslipping with 50% glycerin in 0.01 mol/L PBS, the sections were observed under a confocal laser scanning microscope (FV1000, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Images were captured with FV10-ASW software. Omission of primary antibody served as a negative control.

Preparation of DRG Neurons

L₄₋₆ DRG neurons were acutely dissociated from 80-100 g rats as described previously [38-40]. Anesthetized with ether, the rats were rapidly decapitated. The DRGs were removed and incubated in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Gibco Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) saturated with a CO₂/O₂ mixture, containing 2.67 mg/mL collagenase (type IA, Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and 1 mg/mL trypsin (type I, Sigma), for 35 min at 37 °C. After enzyme treatment, the DRGs were washed with standard external solution (in mmol/L, 150 NaCl, 5 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES, and 10 glucose, pH 7.4) and gently triturated with a fine fired-polished Pasteur pipette to dissociate single cells. Neurons were plated onto glass coverslips in culture dishes, and then incubated in standard external solution for recording at RT. All experiments were carried out within 2-8 h after plating and each coverslip was used only once.

Patch-Clamp Recordings

Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings were made at RT (23 \pm 1°C) using an Axonpatch 200B amplifier (Molecular Devices, LLC Sunnyvale, CA). Only small (< 25 µm) DRG neurons with resting membrane potentials more negative than -50 mV were selected for study. Microelectrodes (N51A borosilicate glass, Sutter Instruments Co., Novato, CA) were pulled on a P97 puller (Sutter Instruments). Microelectrodes with resistances of 2–6 MΩ were selected and filled with (in mmol/L): 140 CsF, 1 MgCl₂, 2.5 Na₂ATP, 1 EGTA, and 10 HEPES, adjusted to pH 7.2 with CsOH. The data were sampled at 10 kHz and low-pass filtered at 2 kHz.

The external solution contained (in mmol/L): 32 NaCl, 1 MgCl₂, 20 TEA-Cl, 105 choline-Cl, 1 CaCl₂, 0.1 CdCl₂, 10 HEPES, 0.0005 TTX, and 10 glucose; pH was adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH. Na_v1.8 currents were evoked by 50-ms depolarizing pulses in DRG neurons held at -60 mV. The peak Na_v1.8 currents were determined by a voltage-clamp protocol of depolarizing steps from -55 mV to +40 mV (50 ms, at 5-mV increments).

Reagents

All reagents for patch-clamp recording and intrathecal (i.t.) and subcutaneous injections were from Sigma, except that the EDG2 inhibitor Ki16198 was from Selleck (Selleck Chemicals, Houston, TX) and the PKC ε inhibitor εV_{1-2} was from Biomol (Plymouth Meeting, PA). All reagents were dissolved in saline (at least 1000-fold the working concentration) as stock solutions stored at -20 °C and the working concentrations were prepared on the day of the experiment. The reagent concentrations used were based on previous studies. LPA was continuously applied near the neurons for 1 min using an ALA-VM8 perfusion system (ALA Scientific Instruments, Westbury, NY). Ki16198 or the PKC inhibitor bisindolylmaleimide (BIM) was added to the chamber 30 min before and during the perfusion of LPA at a concentration based on a previous study [41]. εV_{1-2} was delivered intracellularly via the recording electrode.

Data Analysis

Student's *t*-test was used to analyze all of the data. The criterion of significance was set at P < 0.05 and all data are presented as mean \pm SEM.

Results

LPA-Induced Pain Behavior and Upregulation of EDG2 and Na_v1.8 in DRGs of Normal Rats

Two hours after i.t. administration, mechanical allodynia was tested using von Frey filaments. The results showed that PWTs in the Control + Control group did not differ before and after injection. In the LPA + Control group, compared with baseline, the PWT was significantly decreased 2 h after injection. Further, the LPA + Control group showed a lower PWT than the LPA + Ki16198 group (Fig. 1A).

Meanwhile, EDG2 and Na_v1.8 expression in L_{4-6} DRGs was examined 2 h after i.t. administration. Compared with the Control + Control group, EDG2 expression was upregulated in the LPA + Control group, and this was blocked by the EDG2 antagonist Ki16198 (Fig. 1B, C).



Fig. 1 Pain behavior and EDG2 and Na_v1.8 expression after intrathecal injection of LPA. **A** LPA decreased PWTs (n = 8), and this was attenuated by the EDG2 antagonist Ki16198. **B**, **C** LPA up-

regulated EDG2 expression in L₄₋₆ DRGs (n = 6). **D**, **E** Na_v1.8 expression on L₄₋₆ DRGs increased after LPA injection (n = 6). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

The level of EDG2 was similar in the LPA + Ki16198 and Control + Control groups. Similarly, Na_v1.8 expression was significantly higher in the LPA + Control group than in the Control + Control group. Also, the upregulation of Na_v1.8 expression was blocked by Ki16198; the LPA + Ki16198 group had an Na_v1.8 level similar to that in the Control + Control group (Fig. 1D, E).

To investigate its direct action on peripheral afferent fibers, LPA was subcutaneously injected into the hindpaw and 2 h later, EDG2 and Na_v1.8 expression was assessed in the ipsilateral L_{4-6} DRGs. EDG2 expression was significantly higher in the LPA + Control group than in the Control + Control group, and this was blocked by Ki16198. There was no statistical difference in the EDG2 level between the Control + Control group and the LPA + Ki16198 group (Supplemental Fig. 1A, B). Similar results were obtained for $Na_v 1.8$ expression. $Na_v 1.8$ expression was higher in the LPA + Control group than in the Control + Control group and its expression in the Control + Control group was similar to that in the LPA + Ki16198 group, indicating that LPA-induced upregulation of $Na_v 1.8$ expression was blocked by Ki16198 (Supplemental Fig. 1C, D).

Bone Cancer-Induced Upregulation of EDG2 and Na_v1.8 in DRGs

The levels of EDG2 and Na_v1.8 expression were examined in the ipsilateral DRGs at the L_{4-6} spinal segments on posttumor days (PTDs) 3, 7, and 14. Western-blotting results showed that expression of EDG2 was higher in rats with bone cancer than in sham rats on PTDs 7 and 14, but not on PTD 3 (Fig. 2A, B). Similarly, Na_v1.8 was significantly



Fig. 2 Time-courses of EDG2 and Na_v1.8 expression on ipsilateral L_{4-6} DRGs after cancer cell inoculation. EDG2 (**A**, **B**, *n* = 6) and Na_v1.8 (**C**, **D**, *n* = 6) expression were both increased in PTD 7 and PTD 14 rats. **P* <0.05, ****P* <0.001.

upregulated on PTDs 7 and 14, but not on PTD 3, compared with sham rats (Fig. 2C, D).

The EDG2 antagonist Ki16198 (1 mmol/L, 50 µL) or 50 µL of 1% DMSO (control) was injected i.t. the day before cancer cell implantation, as well as on PTDs 2, 4, 7, 10, 13, and 16. The sham rats received saline injections. On PTD 16, Nav1.8 and EDG2 expression were assessed in the ipsilateral L₄₋₆ DRGs and pain behavior was assessed by ipsilateral PWTs with von Frey filaments. Compared with the sham group, EDG2 expression was strongly up-regulated in cancer rats that received control injections. In the Ki16198 group (Cancer + Ki16198), the upregulation of EDG2 was blocked (Fig. 3A, C). Similarly, Nav1.8 expression was increased in the Cancer + Control group, while it did not change significantly in the Cancer + Ki16198 group, compared to the sham group (Fig. 3B, D). Meanwhile, PWTs in the Cancer + Control and Sham groups were 2.35 \pm 0.33 g and 22.33 \pm 2.31 g, respectively (Fig. 3E), indicating a reduction of PWTs by bone cancer. However, this reduction was reversed by Ki16198 injection in the Cancer + Ki16198 group. These results suggested that Ki16198 significantly attenuated the upregulation of EDG2 and Nav1.8 expression and mechanical allodynia in rats with bone cancer.

Co-localization of $Na_v 1.8$ with EDG2 and Potentiation of $Na_v 1.8$ Currents by LPA in DRG Neurons

To explore the roles of EDG2 and $Na_v 1.8$ in the development of bone cancer pain, their co-localization and

interaction were investigated. According to our previous study [27], immunofluorescence staining of two adjacent sections (7 μ m) from an L₄ DRG with Na_v1.8 antibody and EDG2 antibody reveals their co-localization in the same neurons. With this method, we found that Na_v1.8 and EDG2 were widely co-localized in DRG neurons (Fig. 4).

Given their co-localization, we explored whether LPA modulates the Na_v1.8 channel. Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were performed on isolated small-diameter (< 25 μ m) DRG neurons in which the membrane potential was held at -60 mV to inhibit Na_v1.9 currents and leave Na_v1.8 intact [27]. A voltage-clamp protocol (depolarizing steps from -55 mV to +40 mV, 50 ms, 5 mV increments) was used to generate Na_v1.8 currents (Fig. 5A). According to the current–voltage curve (Fig. 5B), the peak amplitude of Na_v1.8 currents was elicited at -15 mV in most recordings. Three minutes after DRG neurons were perfused with 10 μ mol/L LPA for 1 min, the Na_v1.8 currents were potentiated by 51 ± 0.14% in the neurons recorded (Fig. 5C, D).

As a G-protein coupled receptor, EDG2 interacts with the G_i , G_q , and G_{12} families, activating their downstream mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), PKC, and Rho-Rho kinase pathways, while inhibiting the protein kinase A (PKA) pathway [15]. Previous studies have suggested that the PKC-dependent pathways, especially the PKC ε pathway, is involved not only in Na_v1.8 channel modulation [34, 40, 42, 43], but also in the potentiation of the TRPV1 channel by LPA [20].



Fig. 3 Effects of Ki16198 on EDG2 and Na_v1.8 upregulation and pain behavior of rats with bone cancer. **A**, **C** Ki16198 blocked the upregulation of EDG2 expression on ipsilateral L_{4-6} DRGs of rats with cancer (n = 6). **B**, **D** Increased Na_v1.8 expression on ipsilateral L_{4-6}

DRGs of rats with cancer was also blocked by Ki16198 (n = 6). E Ki16198 attenuated mechanical allodynia in rats with cancer (n = 6). ***P < 0.001.

In the present study, the PKC inhibitor BIM and the PKC ϵ inhibitor ϵV_{1-2} were used to explore the downstream molecules that contribute to potentiation of the Na_v1.8 current by LPA. Among the 7 neurons tested, BIM (1 μ mol/L, 30 min) incubation blocked the LPA-induced potentiation of Na_v1.8 currents (Fig. 6A, B,). When ϵV_{1-2} (200 μ mol/L) was delivered *via* the recording electrode 5 min before recording, LPA failed to increase the Na_v1.8 currents (Fig. 6C, D) in all 8 of the neurons recorded.

Discussion

LPA is secreted by activated platelets, as well as tumors and their surrounding tissues [15, 44, 45], mediating a wide range of effects such as the proliferation, migration, and survival of cancer cells [12, 46, 47]. Our previous study demonstrated that LPA is involved in the induction of bone cancer pain by interacting with TRPV1, an important painrelated factor widely expressed in small DRG neurons [20].



Fig. 4 Co-localization of $Na_v 1.8$ and EDG2 in DRG neurons. A, B Double immunofluorescence staining showing $Na_v 1.8$ and EDG2 co-localized in DRG neurons (open arrows). Neurons expressing EDG2

but not $Na_v 1.8$ and $Na_v 1.8$ -positive but EDG2-negative neurons are indicated by arrowheads and filled arrows, respectively.



Fig. 5 Potentiation of Na_v1.8 currents by LPA. A Representative Na_v1.8 currents recorded before (left) and after (right) LPA perfusion. **B** *I-V* curves of Na_v1.8 currents. **C**, **D** Peak amplitude of Na_v1.8 currents increased after LPA perfusion (n = 11). *P < 0.05.

 $Na_v 1.8$, another key pain signaling molecule in primary afferent neurons, is a TTX-R sodium channel primarily localized in nociceptors [21, 23]. In the present study, we showed that LPA facilitates the $Na_v 1.8$ channel in DRG neurons, providing a new peripheral LPA mechanism underlying the induction of bone cancer pain.

The present results showed that i.t. injection of LPA induced upregulation of both the LPA₁ receptor EDG2 and Na_v1.8 expression in the L₄₋₆ DRGs of normal rats, and this was completely blocked by the EDG2 antagonist Ki16198. Functionally, i.t. injection of LPA decreased the PWT, and this was partially, but not completely, blocked by Ki16198, suggesting that LPA potentiates the excitability of the DRG neurons that innervate the hind-paw. This may be related to the upregulation of Na_v1.8 in L₄₋₆ DRGs, while other channels such as TRPV1 may also be involved in this effect. As EDG2 is also expressed in the spinal cord [15, 18], LPA injected i.t. may act directly on DRG neurons and/or *via* a spinal mechanism to up-regulate both EDG2 and Na_v1.8 expression in L₄₋₆ DRGs and induce allodynia.

It has been documented that bone innervation in the hind-limbs is predominantly from thinly-myelinated $A-\delta$

and unmyelinated C-fibers, originating from medium and small DRG nociceptor neurons, respectively [48]. Algogenic substances released by carcinoma cells and tumor stroma have been suggested to sensitize or directly activate peripheral nociceptive sensory neurons [49]. Our previous study revealed that LPA sensitizes sural C-fibers that innervate the hindpaw [19]. In the present work, we found that after intraplantar injection of LPA, the EDG2 and Na_v1.8 levels were greatly increased, and this was blocked by Ki16198. These results suggested that LPA may amplify the C fiber-mediated nociceptive information, with the excitability of small-sized DRG neurons be enhanced and the EDG2 and Na_v1.8 levels increased, thus sensitizing nociceptive DRG neurons and contributing to pain.

In addition to up-regulating the $Na_v 1.8$ level in DRGs, LPA also potentiated the $Na_v 1.8$ currents in isolated DRG neurons. This result for the first time identified the co-localization of EDG2 and $Na_v 1.8$ in DRG neurons, providing a basis for their interaction. In patch-clamp recordings, LPA greatly increased the $Na_v 1.8$ currents in small DRG neurons, reflecting that more $Na_v 1.8$ channels were opened after LPA perfusion, resulting in potentiation of the excitability of DRG neurons.



Fig. 6 PKC, especially PKC ε , is involved in the LPA-induced potentiation of Na_v1.8 currents. **A, B** After BIM incubation, LPA failed to increase the amplitude of Na_v1.8 currents (P > 0.05). **C, D** With εV_{1-2} delivery, the amplitude of Na_v1.8 currents remained unchanged after LPA perfusion (P > 0.05).

In rats with bone cancer, the up-regulated EDG2 and Na_v1.8 expression had a similar time-course in ipsilateral DRGs. EDG2 and Nav1.8 expression was assessed at three time points, 3, 7, and 14 days after cancer cell inoculation, and they were significantly increased at 7 and 14 days. In particular, i.t. injection of Ki16198 blocked the upregulation of EDG2 and Nav1.8 expression in rats with bone cancer, further demonstrating that the up-regulation of EDG2 and Nav1.8 was associated with LPA. It has been reported that Nav1.8 influences the excitability of small and medium nociceptive DRG neurons [22], and an increase in Nav1.8 expression contributes to mechanical allodynia [26, 28, 30, 31, 50]. It is plausible that as cancer develops, LPA released by carcinoma cells and the tumor stroma increases the excitability of peripheral C-fibers, as well as the EDG2 and Na_v1.8 expression, resulting in the enhancement of Nav1.8 currents, further increasing the excitability of DRG neurons and thus causing mechanical allodynia. Correspondingly, our results showed that cancerinduced bone pain was attenuated by i.t. injection of Ki16198, indicating that blocking the LPA₁ receptor EDG2 partially prevents the sensitization of DRG neurons by LPA. However, as the cancer-induced bone pain was not totally blocked by i.t. Ki16198, other targets such as TRPV1 may also be involved in the effect of LPA. Taken together, it is conceivable that excessive LPA in rats with cancer activates unmyelinated peripheral sensory nerve fibers and sensitizes DRG neurons via increasing the activity and expression level of Na_v1.8 channels.

The LPA₁ receptor EDG2 is a G-protein coupled receptor [15], which is able to interact with three G protein families, G_i, G_q, and G₁₂, generating their downstream propagation through the MAPK, PKC, and Rho-Rho kinase pathways, while inhibiting the PKA pathway [15], further triggering a wide range of signaling molecules underlying modulation of the excitability of DRG neurons. Compelling evidence has shown that the Na_v1.8 channel is modulated by a PKC-dependent pathway [34, 42, 43], and PKC activation enhances TTX-R currents in DRG neurons[33]. Our previous results demonstrated that the PKC signal pathway is involved in the interaction between LPA and TRPV1 in the induction of bone cancer pain [20]. Also, neurokinin-1, a G-protein coupled receptor, potentiates Na_v1.8 currents *via* the PKCɛ pathway [39, 40]. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the PKC signal pathway participates in the LPA-induced sensitization of the Nav1.8 channel and up-regulation of Nav1.8 expression in rats with bone cancer. Our supplemental experiments showed that both the PKC inhibitor BIM and the PKC ε inhibitor εV_{1-2} blocked the potentiation of Nav1.8 currents by LPA. In addition, given that cancer pain is a complicated symptom with inflammatory and neuropathic components [48] and

LPA is important for the initiation of neuropathic pain *via* the Rho-Rho kinase pathway [18, 51], it is probable that LPA is involved in bone cancer pain by modulating several effectors through different intracellular signal pathways.

Taken together, LPA is involved in bone cancer pain *via* facilitating the TTX-R sodium channel Na_v1.8 in nociceptive primary sensory neurons, acting directly on DRG neurons and/or *via* a spinal mechanism, which probably constitutes a peripheral mechanism by which bone cancer pain develops.

Acknowledgements We thank Dr. Zhi-Qi Zhao for his helpful reading and revision of the manuscript. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81200854), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province, China (20122BAB215027), the Science Foundation of the Educational Committee of Jiangxi Province, China (GJJ12064), and the International Postdoctoral Exchange Fellowship Program, China (20150062).

References

- Mercadante S. Malignant bone pain: pathophysiology and treatment. Pain 1997, 69: 1–18.
- Mantyh P. Bone cancer pain: causes, consequences, and therapeutic opportunities. Pain 2013, 154 Suppl 1: S54–62.
- Fazzari J, Lin HX, Murphy C, Ungard R, Singh G. Inhibitors of glutamate release from breast cancer cells; new targets for cancer-induced bone-pain. Sci Rep 2015, 5: 8380.
- Honore P, Rogers SD, Schwei MJ, Salak-Johnson JL, Luger NM, Sabino MC, *et al.* Murine models of inflammatory, neuropathic and cancer pain each generates a unique set of neurochemical changes in the spinal cord and sensory neurons. Neuroscience 2000, 98: 585–598.
- 5. Julius D, Basbaum AI. Molecular mechanisms of nociception. Nature 2001, 413: 203–210.
- 6. Mantyh PW. Cancer pain and its impact on diagnosis, survival and quality of life. Nat Rev Neurosci 2006, 7: 797–809.
- Joyce JA, Pollard JW. Microenvironmental regulation of metastasis. Nat Rev Cancer 2009, 9: 239–252.
- 8. Han Y, Li Y, Xiao X, Liu J, Meng XL, Liu FY, *et al.* Formaldehyde up-regulates TRPV1 through MAPK and PI3K signaling pathways in a rat model of bone cancer pain. Neurosci Bull 2012, 28: 165–172.
- Aoki J, Taira A, Takanezawa Y, Kishi Y, Hama K, Kishimoto T, et al. Serum lysophosphatidic acid is produced through diverse phospholipase pathways. J Biol Chem 2002, 277: 48737–48744.
- Kostic I, Fidalgo-Carvalho I, Aday S, Vazao H, Carvalheiro T, Graos M, *et al.* Lysophosphatidic acid enhances survival of human CD34(+) cells in ischemic conditions. Sci Rep 2015, 5: 16406.
- Xu Y, Fang XJ, Casey G, Mills GB. Lysophospholipids activate ovarian and breast-cancer cells. Biochem J 1995, 309: 933–940.
- Fang XJ, Schummer M, Mao ML, Yu SX, Tabassam FH, Swaby R, *et al.* Lysophosphatidic acid is a bioactive mediator in ovarian cancer. Biochim Biolphys Acta 2002, 1582: 257–264.
- Boucharaba A, Serre CM, Gres S, Saulnier-Blache JS, Bordet JC, Guglielmi J, *et al.* Platelet-derived lysophosphatidic acid supports the progression of osteolytic bone metastases in breast cancer. J Clin Invest 2004, 114: 1714–1725.

- 14. Spiegel S, Milstien S. Critical role of acylglycerol kinase in epidermal growth factor-induced mitogenesis of prostate cancer cells. Biochem Soc Trans 2005, 33: 1362–1365.
- Anliker B, Chun J. Cell surface receptors in lysophospholipid signaling. Semin Cell Dev Biol 2004, 15: 457–465.
- Noguchi K, Ishii S, Shimizu T. Identification of p2y(9)/GPR23 as a novel G protein-coupled receptor for lysophosphatidic acid, structurally distant from the Edg family. J Biol Chem 2003, 278: 25600–25606.
- Lee CW, Rivera R, Gardell S, Dubin AE, Chun J. GPR92 as a new G(12/13)- and G(q)-coupled lysophosphatidic acid receptor that increases cAMP, LPA(5). J Biol Chem 2006, 281: 23589–23597.
- Inoue M, Rashid MH, Fujita R, Contos JJ, Chun J, Ueda H. Initiation of neuropathic pain requires lysophosphatidic acid receptor signaling. Nat Med 2004, 10: 712–718.
- Zhao J, Pan HL, Li TT, Zhang YQ, Wei JY, Zhao ZQ. The sensitization of peripheral C-fibers to lysophosphatidic acid in bone cancer pain. Life Sci 2010, 87: 120–125.
- Pan HL, Zhang YQ, Zhao ZQ. Involvement of lysophosphatidic acid in bone cancer pain by potentiation of TRPV1 via PKC epsilon pathway in dorsal root ganglion neurons. Mol Pain 2010, 6: 85.
- Akopian AN, Sivilotti L, Wood JN. A tetrodotoxin-resistant voltage-gated sodium channel expressed by sensory neurons. Nature 1996, 379: 257–262.
- Renganathan M, Cummins TR, Waxman SG. Contribution of Na(v)1.8 sodium channels to action potential electrogenesis in DRG neurons. J Neurophysiol 2001, 86: 629–640.
- Djouhri L, Fang X, Okuse K, Wood JN, Berry CM, Lawson SN. The TTX-resistant sodium channel Nav1.8 (SNS/PN3): expression and correlation with membrane properties in rat nociceptive primary afferent neurons. J Physiol 2003, 550: 739–752.
- Amir R, Argoff CE, Bennett GJ, Cummins TR, Durieux ME, Gerner P, *et al.* The role of sodium channels in chronic inflammatory and neuropathic pain. J Pain 2006, 7: S1–29.
- Medvedeva YV, Kim MS, Schnizler K, Usachev YM. Functional tetrodotoxin-resistant Na(+) channels are expressed presynaptically in rat dorsal root ganglia neurons. Neuroscience 2009, 159: 559–569.
- Dib-Hajj SD, Cummins TR, Black JA, Waxman SG. Sodium channels in normal and pathological pain. Annu Rev Neurosci 2010, 33: 325–347.
- 27. Gu XY, Liu BL, Zang KK, Yang L, Xu H, Pan HL, *et al.* Dexmedetomidine inhibits Tetrodotoxin-resistant Na(v)1.8 sodium channel activity through G(i/o)-dependent pathway in rat dorsal root ganglion neurons. Mol Brain 2015, 8: 15. doi: 10.1186/s13041-015-0105-2.
- Lai J, Gold MS, Kim CS, Bian D, Ossipov MH, Hunter JC, *et al.* Inhibition of neuropathic pain by decreased expression of the tetrodotoxin-resistant sodium channel, Na(v)1.8. Pain 2002, 95: 143–152.
- Ekberg J, Jayamanne A, Vaughan CW, Aslan S, Thomas L, Mouldt J, *et al.* mu O-conotoxin MrVIB selectively blocks Na(v)1.8 sensory neuron specific sodium channels and chronic pain behavior without motor deficits. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006, 103: 17030–17035.
- Dong XW, Goregoaker S, Engler H, Zhou X, Mark L, Crona J, et al. Small interfering RNA-mediated selective knockdown of Na(v)1.8 tetrodotoxin-resistent sodium channel reverses mechanical allodynia in neuropathic rats. Neuroscience 2007, 146: 812–821.
- 31. Liu XD, Yang JJ, Fang D, Cai J, Wan Y, Xing GG. Functional upregulation of nav1.8 sodium channels on the membrane of dorsal root ganglia neurons contributes to the development of cancer-induced bone pain. Plos One 2014, 9: e114623.

- 32. England S, Bevan S, Docherty RJ. PGE2 modulates the tetrodotoxin-resistant sodium current in neonatal rat dorsal root ganglion neurones via the cyclic AMP-protein kinase A cascade. J Physiol 1996, 495: 429–440.
- Gold MS, Levine JD, Correa AM. Modulation of TTX-R INa by PKC and PKA and their role in PGE2-induced sensitization of rat sensory neurons in vitro. J Neurosci 1998, 18: 10345–10355.
- 34. Ikeda M, Yoshida S, Kadoi J, Nakano Y, Mastumoto S. The effect of PKC activity on the TTX-R sodium currents from rat nodose ganglion neurons. Life Sci 2005, 78: 47–53.
- 35. Lee WS, Hong MP, Kim TH, Shin YK, Lee CS, Park M, et al. Effects of lysophosphatidic acid on sodium currents in rat dorsal root ganglion neurons. Brain research 2005, 1035: 100–104.
- Pitcher GM, Ritchie J, Henry JL. Paw withdrawal threshold in the von Frey hair test is influenced by the surface on which the rat stands. J Neurosci Meth 1999, 87: 185–193.
- 37. Sun S, Cao H, Han M, Li TT, Pan HL, Zhao ZQ, *et al.* New evidence for the involvement of spinal fractalkine receptor in pain facilitation and spinal glial activation in rat model of monoarthritis. Pain 2007, 129: 64–75.
- Zhou Y, Li GD, Zhao ZQ. State-dependent phosphorylation of epsilon-isozyme of protein kinase C in adult rat dorsal root ganglia after inflammation and nerve injury. J Neurochem 2003, 85: 571–580.
- 39. Zhang H, Cang CL, Kawasaki Y, Liang LL, Zhang YQ, Ji RR, *et al.* Neurokinin-1 receptor enhances TRPV1 activity in primary sensory neurons via PKC epsilon: A novel pathway for heat hyperalgesia. J Neurosci 2007, 27: 12067–12077.
- Cang CL, Zhang H, Zhang YQ, Zhao ZQ. PKCepsilon-dependent potentiation of TTX-resistant Nav1.8 current by neurokinin-1 receptor activation in rat dorsal root ganglion neurons. Mol Pain 2009, 5: 33.
- 41. Komachi M, Sato K, Tobo M, Mogi C, Yamada T, Ohta H, *et al.* Orally active lysophosphatidic acid receptor antagonist attenuates

pancreatic cancer invasion and metastasis in vivo. Cancer Sci 2012, 103: 1099-1104.

- Thio CL, Sontheimer H. Differential modulation of Ttx-sensitive and Ttx-resistant Na+ channels in spinal-cord astrocytes following activation of protein-kinase-C. J Neurosci 1993, 13: 4889–4897.
- 43. Matsumoto S, Yoshida S, Ikeda M, Tanimoto T, Saiki C, Takeda M, *et al.* Effect of 8-bromo-cAMP on the tetrodotoxin-resistant sodium (Nav 1.8) current in small-diameter nodose ganglion neurons. Neuropharmacology 2007, 52: 904–924.
- Fukushima N. LPA in neural cell development. J Cell Biochem 2004, 92: 993–1003.
- Rivera R, Chun J. Biological effects of lysophospholipids. Rev Physiol Bioch P 2008, 160: 25–46.
- Radeff-Huang J, Seasholtz TM, Matteo RG, Brown JH. G protein mediated signaling pathways in lysophospholipid induced cell proliferation and survival. J Cell Biochem 2004, 92: 949–966.
- 47. Guo R, Kasbohm EA, Arora P, Sample CJ, Baban B, Sud N, *et al.* Expression and function of lysophosphatidic acid LPA1 receptor in prostate cancer cells. Endocrinology 2006, 147: 4883–4892.
- Mantyh PW. Bone cancer pain: from mechanism to therapy. Curr Opin Support Pa 2014, 8: 83–90.
- Bjorkman R, Ullman A, Hedner J. Morphine-sparing effect of diclofenac in cancer pain. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1993, 44: 1–5.
- Chen J, Guan SM, Sun W, Fu H. Melittin, the major pain-producing substance of bee venom. Neurosci Bull 2016, 32: 265–272.
- Inoue M, Xie W, Matsushita Y, Chun J, Aoki J, Ueda H. Lysophosphatidylcholine induces neuropathic pain through an action of autotaxin to generate lysophosphatidic acid. Neuroscience 2008, 152: 296–298.